

Standard Operating Procedures for Monitoring CTIMPs

This is a controlled document

Any printed versions of this document will be classed as uncontrolled

SOP Reference:	SOP/RG05A
Version Number:	1.0
Date:	26 May 2021
Effective Date:	1 June 2021

Author: Antony Walsh Designation: RES Research Governance Officer	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Date</i> 26 May 2021
Authorised by: Dr Daniel Michelson Designation: SSC Chair		11 June 2021

Version	Effective Date	Reason for Change
1.0	1 June 2021	-

Disclaimer

When using this document ensure that the version you are using is the most up-to-date either by checking on the Research Governance webpages¹ for any new versions or by contacting the author to confirm the current version

Staff and students may print off this document for training and reference purposes but are responsible for regularly checking for the current version. Any print-off of this document will be classed as uncontrolled

Out of date documents must not be relied upon

Acknowledgement, BSUH, BS CTU

1. Purpose & Scope

1.1 The EU Good Clinical Practice (GCP) Directive 2001/20/EC was introduced to establish standardisation of research activity in Clinical Trials throughout the European Union (EU). It was transposed into UK law as the Medicines for Human Use (Clinical Trials) Regulations 2004 (SI 2004/1031) which came into force on 1

3.13 When applicable, a study specific non-compliance log should also be maintained by the TM.

3.14 The TM is also responsible for filing the report and any relevant correspondence in the TMF.

US Research Governance Officer (RGO), CTU Operational Manager (OM)

3.15 In CTIMPs, the TM/tm is responsible for undertaking monitoring risk assessments, creating

RESEARCH & ENTERPRISE SERVICES
RESEARCH ETHICS, INTEGRITY & GOVERNANCE

- Ensuring the monitoring visit log is signed by both the TM/tmand a member of the research team at the site
- Reviewing emergency 24-

- Copies of local R&D approval letters for amendments

4.11 Any actions identified by the RGO during a monitoring visit, will be discussed with the research team. If the research team still fail to complete the actions then this issue should be escalated to the Sponsor.

4.12 The RGO will present a summary of the findings from monitoring visits to the SSC, who meet at least quarterly.

Central Monitoring

4.13 Central monitoring is when monitoring activities are performed remotely from the coordinating centre. With low dda1 v (it)-2O()10.2 f(is)-1.3 (c)-1.9 RGdiesititOdit6 (e)-3 (n5 (it)-3av)-5.5 (is)ommitnt wf extitm g (it).

ON-SITE MONITORING REPORT

(To be adapted on a trial by trial basis)

Study Title: [Full study title]	
EudraCT Number:	
IRAS Number:	
Name and Number of site:	
PI Name:	
Reason for visit:	
Date of visit:	
Preparation for visit	
Visit correspondence (date	

Has version control list been sent ahead of visit and any documents identified as missing now been sent?

RESEARCH & ENTERPRISE SERVICES
RESEARCH ETHICS, INTEGRITY & GOVERNANCE

ITEM MONITORED	YES	NO	N/A	
----------------	-----	----	-----	--

RESEARCH & ENTERPRISE SERVICES
RESEARCH ETHICS, INTEGRITY & GOVERNANCE

2.	Informed consent and participant status				
	Item Monitored	YES	NO	N/A	COMMENTS (if no is answered, comment)

RESEARCH & ENTERPRISE SERVICES
RESEARCH ETHICS, INTEGRITY & GOVERNANCE

Outcomes from visit	
Have there been any major or critical findings?	
Have there been any protocol deviations?	
Are there any other actions required and/or follow ups needed?	
Have all actions from previous visits been closed (if applicable)?	
Comments <i>Give a brief overview of the meeting and highlight whether there were any particular issues e.g. key member of trio/ team not present, anything raised for discussion that requires further consideration by the CI/NCTU team, or that represents further need to follow up.</i>	

CENTRAL MONITORING REPORT

(To be adapted on a trial by trial basis)

[Short study name]

Study Title: [Full study title]	
Sponsor reference:	EudraCT Number:
REC reference:	IRAS Number:
Chief Investigator:	
Sponsor:	
Trial Manager:	
Site:	
Principal Investigator:	
Research Nurse:	
Data Officer:	

OVERALL STUDY PROGRESS	
Date Site opened to recruitment/ activated	
Total number of patients screened:	
Total number of patients recruited:	
Number of patients ongoing:	
Number of patients completed:	
Study completion due date:	
Predicted total at study completion based on current recruitment:	
Total number of withdrawals:	
Total number of those withdrawals due to safety:	
Total number of SAEs:	
Total number of SUSARs:	

Date of previous report: DD/MMM/YYYY

Date of current report: DD/MMM/YYYY

DATA MANAGER REVIEW (DMR)

Date DMR completed: DD/MMM/YYYY

DMR reviewed by Trial Manager:

Date: DD/MMM/YYYY

Not reviewed:

SCREENING/ENROLMENT LOG: Since last monitoring report			
Date log received	Number of people screened / enrolled	COMMENTS	
ACTION:			
SCREENING LOG: Since last monitoring report			
Date screening log received	Number of people screened	Number of screen failures	Reasons for screen failure
ACTION:			
DELEGATION LOG:			

RESEARCH & ENTERPRISE SERVICES
RESEARCH ETHICS, INTEGRITY & GOVERNANCE

Review of IMP orders		
IMP stock check at site		
General Drug Accountability log		
Patient drug accountability log		
IMP Destruction Log		
ACTION:		
LAB MANAGEMENT:		
DOCUMENT	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENTS
SAMPLE LOG		
NORMAL LAB RANGES		
TEMPERATURE LOG		
SAMPLE TRANSFER LOG		

<OPTIONAL – REMOVE OR AMEND AS PER STUDY REQUIREMENTS>

Appendix 1: Patients overview (as of XXXX)

SPONSOR SECTION

Appendices

SPONSOR SECTION

(NOT TO BE INCLUDED IN MAIN REPORT TO SITE)

Issues to be escalated to sponsor	Sponsor review date	Recommended actions / comments
-----------------------------------	---------------------	--------------------------------